arXiv:math/0410040v1 [math.AG] 3 Oct 2004

On the homotopy types of Kähler manifolds and the birational Kodaira problem

Claire Voisin
Institut de mathématiques de Jussieu, CNRS,UMR 7586

0 Introduction

In small dimensions, it is known that Kähler compact manifolds are deformation equivalent to smooth projective complex varieties. In dimension 2, this follows from the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *(Kodaira [5]) Any compact Kähler surface admits small deformations which are projective.*

The so-called Kodaira problem left open by this result asked whether more generally any compact Kähker manifold can be deformed to a projective complex manifold.

Recently, we solved negatively this question by constructing, in any dimension $n \geq 4$, examples of compact Kähler manifolds, which do not deform to projective complex manifolds, as a consequence of the following stronger statement concerning the topology of Kähler compact manifolds:

Theorem 2 *(Voisin, [6]) In any dimension $n \geq 4$, there are examples of compact Kähler manifolds, which do not have the homotopy type of projective complex manifolds.*

However, these examples were obtained starting either from certain complex tori or from self-products of certain generalized Kummer varieties, and then blowing-up them along adequate subsets.

Hence, all these examples are bimeromorphically equivalent to other complex manifolds which satisfy the property of deforming to projective complex manifolds, namely complex tori, or self-products of generalized Kummer varieties.

The following question, which was asked to me by N. Buchdahl, F. Campana, S.-T. Yau, and can be considered as a birational version of the Kodaira problem, is thus quite natural:

Question. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. Does there exist a bimeromorphic model X' of X which deforms to a projective complex manifold?*

In this paper, we show that the answer to this question is again no, which follows from the following stronger statement:

Theorem 3 *In any even dimension ≥ 8, there exist compact Kähler manifolds X, such that no compact bimeromorphic model X' of X has the homotopy type of a projective complex manifold.*

In this statement, we can in fact replace "homotopy type" with "rational homotopy type", that is "rational cohomology ring" (see Theorem 5). Indeed, the whole discussion deals with the Hodge structure on rational cohomology and the (non)-existence of polarizations on them.

Acknowledgements. This work was started at Harvard University; I thank S.-T. Yau for his invitation there and for his interest in the work [6]. I also thank N. Buchdahl, F. Campana, S.-T. Yau for asking the question above.

1 Construction of examples

We start as in [6], namely, we consider n-dimensional complex tori T admitting an endomorphism

$$\phi_T : T \rightarrow T$$

satisfying the following property (*). We can write T as $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}/(\Gamma \oplus \Gamma')$, where Γ is a rank $2n$ lattice, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} = \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and Γ' is a complex subspace of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}$ of rank n such that

$$\Gamma' \oplus \overline{\Gamma}' = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let ϕ be the endomorphism ϕ_{T*} of $H_1(T, \mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma$. Clearly Γ' has to be an eigenspace of $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}$, so no eigenvalue of ϕ can be real. The condition (*) is the following:

(*) *The characteristic polynomial of ϕ (which has integer coefficients), has $2n$ distinct roots (the eigenvalues of ϕ) and its Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}$ acts as the symmetric group of $2n$ letters on them.*

In the sequel, we will need to assume that the dimension n of T is at least 4. We make now the following construction. Let $\widehat{T}$ be the dual torus of T, namely

$$\widehat{T} = \Gamma^*_{\mathbb{C}}/(\Gamma^* \oplus \Gamma'^{\perp}).$$

Geometrically, $\widehat{T}$ is the torus

$$Pic^0(T) = H^1(T, \mathbb{C})/(H^{1,0}(T) \oplus H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}))$$

which is the group of topologically trivial holomorphic line bundles on T up to isomorphism.

There exists on $T \times \widehat{T}$ the so-called Poincaré line bundle $\mathcal{P}$ which is uniquely characterized by the following properties :

- For any $t \in \widehat{T}$ parameterizing a line bundle L_t on T, we have

$$L_t \cong \mathcal{P}_{|T \times t}.$$

- The restriction $\mathcal{P}_{|0 \times \widehat{T}}$ is trivial.

In fact $\mathcal{P}$ is constructed as follows : first of all, its first Chern class

$$c_1(\mathcal{P}) \in NS(T \times \widehat{T}) := H^{1,1}(T \times \widehat{T}) \cap H^2(T \times \widehat{T}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the identity

$$id_{H^1(\widehat{T})} \in H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^1(\widehat{T}, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(T \times \widehat{T}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

which is easily seen to be of Hodge type $(1,1)$. Next the uniqueness of $\mathcal{P}$ is forced by the conditions

$$\mathcal{P}_{|0\times\widehat{T}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}},\ \mathcal{P}_{|T\times 0} \cong \mathcal{O}_T.$$

Next, because T admits the endomorphism ϕ_T, we also have the line bundle

$$\mathcal{P}_\phi := (\phi, Id)^*\mathcal{P}.$$

We now make the following construction:Over $T\times\widehat{T}$, consider the rank 2 vector bundles

$$E = \mathcal{P}\oplus\mathcal{P}^{-1},\ E_\phi = \mathcal{P}_\phi\oplus\mathcal{P}_\phi^{-1}$$

and the corresponding associated projective bundles $\mathbb{P}(E)$, $\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$. The two commuting involutions $(-Id, Id)$ and $(Id, -Id)$ of $T\times\widehat{T}$ lift to commuting involutions i, $\hat{i}$, resp. i_ϕ, $\hat{i}_\phi$ acting on E resp. E_ϕ, since we have isomorphisms

$$(-Id, Id)^*\mathcal{P}\cong\mathcal{P}^{-1},\ (Id,-Id)^*\mathcal{P}\cong\mathcal{P}^{-1},$$

$$(-Id, Id)^*\mathcal{P}_\phi\cong\mathcal{P}_\phi^{-1},\ (Id,-Id)^*\mathcal{P}_\phi\cong\mathcal{P}_\phi^{-1},$$

which can be made canonical by a choice of trivialization

$$\mathcal{P}_{|(0,0)}\cong\mathbb{C},$$

$(0,0)$ being a fixed point of both $(Id,-Id)$ and $(-Id, Id)$.

The compact Kähler manifold we shall consider is the following:We start with the fibered product

$$\mathbb{P}(E)\times_{T\times\widehat{T}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi).$$

It admits the commuting involutions

$$(i, i_\phi),\ (\hat{i},\hat{i}_\phi)$$

over $(-Id, Id)$, $(Id,-Id)$ respectively. The quotient Q of $\mathbb{P}(E)\times_{T\times\widehat{T}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$ by the group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ generated by these involutions is singular along the non free locus of this action, but the quotient admits a Kähler compact desingularization. For example, one can start by desingularizing the quotient $\mathbb{P}(E)\times_{T\times\widehat{T}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)/(i, i_\phi)$ by blowing-up the fixed locus of (i, i_ϕ) and then taking the quotient of the blown-up variety by the natural involution which lifts (i, i_ϕ). The result is smooth Kähler and by naturality $(\hat{i},\hat{i}_\phi)$ acts on it as an involution. Then one can desingularize in the same way the quotient of this new variety by $(\hat{i},\hat{i}_\phi)$.

Our compact Kähler manifold X will be any Kähler desingularization of this quotient.

Note that, if K is the Kummer variety of T, namely the desingularization of the quotient of T by the $-Id$ involution, obtained by blowing-up the images of the 2-torsion points of T, and similarly $\widehat{K}$ is the Kummer variety of $\widehat{T}$, then over $K_0\times\widehat{K}_0$, X is a $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, where K_0 is the open set $T_0/\pm Id$ of K, with

$$T_0 := T\setminus 2-torsion\ points,$$

and similarly for $\widehat{K}_0$.

The next sections will be devoted to the proof of the following Theorem:

Theorem 4 *Let X' be any compact complex manifold bimeromorphically equivalent to X. Then X' does not have the homotopy type of a complex projective manifold.*

2 Some results on the cohomology ring of X'

We plan to show in fact the following slightly stronger result:

Theorem 5 *Let X' be any compact complex manifold bimeromorphically equivalent to X, and let Y be a Kähler compact manifold. Assume there is an isomorphism of graded algebras:*

$$\gamma : H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X', \mathbb{Q}).$$

Then Y is not projective.

In other words, Theorem 4 is true for rational homotopy type rather than homotopy type, since it is known that the rational homotopy type of a compact Kähler manifold is determined by its rational cohomology algebra (see [3]).

This section will be devoted to the study of the cohomology ring of any compact complex manifold X' given as in Theorem 5. The proof of Theorem 5 will be given in the next section, following the same line as [6], section 3.

Recall that X admits a holomorphic map

$$q : X \to (T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id),$$

obtained by composing the desingularization map

$$X \to \mathbb{P}(E) \times_{T\times\widehat{T}} \mathbb{P}(E_\phi)/ < (i, i_\phi), (\hat{i}, \hat{i}_\phi) >$$

with the natural map

$$\mathbb{P}(E) \times_{T\times\widehat{T}} \mathbb{P}(E_\phi)/ < (i, i_\phi), (\hat{i}, \hat{i}_\phi) > \to T \times \widehat{T}/ < (-Id, Id), (Id, -Id) > .$$

For simplicity of notations, we shall assume in the sequel that our X in section 1 has been chosen so that q extends to a holomorphic map

$$\overline{q} : X \to K \times \widehat{K},$$

which can always be achieved by a bimeromorphic transformation.

Lemma 1 *Let $\psi : X' \dashrightarrow X$ be any bimeromorphic map. Then $q \circ \psi$ is holomorphic.*

Proof. The complex manifold $T \times \widehat{T}$ does not contain any closed complex curve. Indeed, it suffices to prove this for T or $\widehat{T}$. Now, the cohomology class $[C]$ of such a curve would be a non zero Hodge class of degree $2n-2$ on T, resp. $\widehat{T}$, or equivalently, a non-zero Hodge class in $H^2(\widehat{T}, \mathbb{Q})$, resp. $H^2(T, \mathbb{Q})$. But in [6], Remark 3, we proved that the existence of ϕ_T, resp. $\phi_{\widehat{T}}$ prevents the existence of such a Hodge class.

It follows that the quotient $(T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id)$ does not contain any rational curve, and by desingularization of meromorphic maps with value in compact complex manifolds, this is enough to conclude that $q \circ \psi$ has to be holomorphic. ■

It follows from Lemma 1 that $H^*(X', \mathbb{Q})$ contains a subalgebra

$$A^* := (q \circ \psi)^* H^*((T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id), \mathbb{Q})$$

which is isomorphic to $H^*((T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id), \mathbb{Q})$. Note that this last space is isomorphic to

$$H^*(T/\pm Id, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(\widehat{T}/\pm Id, \mathbb{Q}),$$

and that $H^*(T/\pm Id,\mathbb{Q})=H^{even}(T,\mathbb{Q})$ (and similarly for $\widehat{T}$).

We shall denote by A_1^*, resp. A_2^*, the subalgebra $(q\circ\psi)^*H^*(T/\pm Id\times 0,\mathbb{Q})$, resp. $(q\circ\psi)^*H^*(0\times\widehat{T}/\pm Id,\mathbb{Q})$.

Next, we note that the cohomology of X' in degree 2 is generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by A^2 and by degree 2 Hodge classes. Indeed, this is true for X, because X contains a Zariski open set which is a $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $K_0\times\widehat{K}_0$, and this implies easily that $H^{2,0}(X)=H^0(X,\Omega_X^2)$ is equal to

$$\overline{q}^*H^{2,0}(K\times\widehat{K})=q^*H^{2,0}((T/\pm Id)\times(\widehat{T}/\pm Id)).$$

Next, this property is invariant under meromorphic transformations, hence if it is true for X, it is true for X'.

Let now $D\subset H^2(X',\mathbb{Q})$ be the subspace generated by degree 2 Hodge classes. So we have

$$H^2(X',\mathbb{Q})=D\oplus A^2,\tag{2.1}$$

because, by [6], Remark 3, we know that the presence of the endomorphism ϕ_T of T satisfying property (*) of section 1 implies that $H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})$ has no non-zero Hodge class, and similarly for $\widehat{T}$. Furthermore, we have by definition

$$A^2=A_1^2\oplus A_2^2.\tag{2.2}$$

For $\alpha\in H^2(X',\mathbb{C})$, let

$$\alpha=\alpha_D+\alpha',\ \alpha'=\alpha_1+\alpha_2,$$

be its decompositions given by (2.1), (2.2).

A key role will be played by the following Proposition 1:

We consider the algebraic subset $Z\subset H^2(X',\mathbb{C})$ defined as

$$Z=\{\alpha\in H^2(X',\mathbb{C}),\ \alpha^2=0\text{ in }H^4(X',\mathbb{C})\}.$$

Z contains the algebraic subsets Z_1, Z_2 defined as

$$Z_1=\{\alpha\in H^2(X',\mathbb{C}),\,\alpha_2=0,\,\alpha_1^2=\alpha_1\alpha_D=\alpha_D^2=0\text{ in }H^4(X',\mathbb{C})\},$$

resp.

$$Z_2=\{\alpha\in H^2(X',\mathbb{C}),\,\alpha_1=0,\,\alpha_2^2=\alpha_2\alpha_D=0=\alpha_D^2=0\text{ in }H^4(X',\mathbb{C})\}.$$

Proposition 1 *Any irreducible component of Z_1 (resp. Z_2) containing*

$$Z_{1,0}:=Z_1\cap\{\alpha,\,\alpha_D=0\}$$

(resp. $Z_{2,0}:=Z_2\cap\{\alpha,\,\alpha_D=0\}$) is an irreducible component of Z.

Proof. The condition $\alpha^2=0$ writes as

$$\alpha'^2+2\alpha_D\alpha'+\alpha_D^2=0.\tag{2.3}$$

Now we observe that α_D^2 belongs to $Hdg^4(X')\otimes\mathbb{C}$, where

$$Hdg^4(X'):=H^4(X',\mathbb{Q})\cap H^{2,2}(X').$$

Similarly, because the Hodge structure on D is trivial, that is purely of type $(1,1)$, $\alpha_D\alpha'$ belongs to $N_2H^4(X')\otimes\mathbb{C}$, where $N_2H^4(X')$ is the maximal rational sub-Hodge structure of $H^4(X',\mathbb{Q})$ which is of Hodge level 2.

(Here we recall that the level of a weight k Hodge structure H, $H_{\mathbb{C}}=\oplus_{p+q=k}H^{p,q}$, is the integer

$$Max\,\{p-q,\,H^{p,q}\neq 0\}.$$

Thus a level 2 sub-Hodge structure of a weight 4 Hodge structure, is a sub-Hodge structure which has no $(4,0)$-term.)

Equation (2.3) thus implies that α'^2 belongs to $N_2A^4_{\mathbb{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{C}$, where again N_2 means that we consider the maximal rational sub-Hodge structure of level 2.

Next we have the Künneth decomposition:

$$A^4_{\mathbb{Q}}=A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}\oplus A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}\otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}\oplus A^4_{2\mathbb{Q}}, \tag{2.4}$$

which is a decomposition into sub-Hodge structures of weight 4. We have the following:

Lemma 2 *$A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ and $A^4_{2\mathbb{Q}}$ do not contain non trivial sub-Hodge structure of Hodge level* 2*.*

Proof. We use the fact that $n\geq 4$, so that $A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ and $A^4_{2\mathbb{Q}}$ are of Hodge level 4. Next we use the assumption (*) satisfied by ϕ to conclude that ϕ_T^* acts in an irreducible way on $\bigwedge^4 H^1(T,\mathbb{Q})=A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}$, and since the action is via morphisms of Hodge structures, it must preserve $N_2A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}$. Hence, because $N_2A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}\neq A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}$, we conclude that $N_2A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}=0$ and similarly $N_2A^4_{2\mathbb{Q}}=0$. ■

From the fact that $\alpha'^2=\alpha_1^2+2\alpha_1\alpha_2+\alpha_2^2\in N_2A^4_{\mathbb{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{C}$, from the decomposition (2.4) into sub-Hodge structures and from Lemma 2, we conclude that

$$\alpha_1^2=0,\ \alpha_2^2=0.$$

Thus our initial equation (2.3) becomes

$$2\alpha_1\alpha_2+2\alpha_D\alpha'+\alpha_D^2=0. \tag{2.5}$$

■

This equation implies as already noticed that $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ belongs to the space

$$N_2(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}\otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})\otimes\mathbb{C}.$$

In fact one can say more: indeed, note that the Hodge structure on

$$D\cdot A^2_{\mathbb{Q}}+D^2\subset H^4(X',\mathbb{Q})$$

is the quotient of a direct sum of Hodge structures of level 2 isomorphic either to $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ or to $A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$ or to a trivial Hodge structure.

Thus condition (2.5) implies that $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ has in fact to belong to the space

$$N'_2(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}\otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})\otimes\mathbb{C},$$

where N'_2 means *the maximal sub-Hodge structure of level* 2*, which is a subquotient of a sum of copies of $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ or $A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$ or a trivial Hodge structure.*

On the other hand, the Hodge structures on $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ or $A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$ are simple, that is do not contain any non-trivial sub-Hodge structure. To see this last point, assume that there is a proper non-zero simple sub-Hodge structure

$$H \subset H^2(T, \mathbb{Q}).$$

As the endomorphism of Hodge structure ϕ_T^* acts transitively on $H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})$, it follows that $H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})$ must then be isomorphic to a sum of copies of H,

$$\exists k > 1,\ H^2(T,\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^k.$$

But then $H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})$ admits a projector which is an endomorphism of Hodge structure. This contradicts the fact, noted at the end of the proof of Lemma 4, that the algebra $End\, H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by ϕ_T^*, and thus does not contain projectors by condition (*).

Note also that the Hodge structures on $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ and $A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$ are not isomorphic, as shown by Lemma 3 below.

Thus it follows that $N_2'(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ is in fact equal to the maximal sub-Hodge structure of level 2 of $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$, which is a sum of copies of $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ or $A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$ or a trivial Hodge structure.

We have the following Lemma:

Lemma 3 *There are no non zero morphism of Hodge structures (of bidegree* $(1,1)$*) from* $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ *or* $A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$ *to* $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$.

Admitting this Lemma, we conclude that in fact $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ has to belong to

$$Hdg(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where Hdg means *the subspace of rational Hodge classes.* We have next the following Lemma, the proof of which we shall also postpone:

Lemma 4 *There are (up to a coefficient) finitely many elements*

$$\beta \in Hdg(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

which are of rank 1*, that is of the form* $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ *as above.*

We then conclude as follows: from the above analysis, we conclude that for $\alpha \in Z$, we have either $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ and then $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ has to be proportional to one of the finitely many β of Lemma 4, or one of α_1, or α_2 has to be 0.

We claim that in this last case, α belongs to Z_2 or Z_1 respectively. Indeed, we know that in any case

$$\alpha_1^2 = 0,\ \alpha_2^2 = 0.$$

Assume $\alpha_2 = 0$. Equation (2.5) thus becomes:

$$2\alpha_D\alpha_1 + \alpha_D^2 = 0.$$

But this implies that

$$\alpha_1\alpha_D = 0,\ \alpha_D^2 = 0.$$

Indeed, α_D^2 belongs to $Hdg^4(X')\otimes\mathbb{C}$ while $\alpha_1\alpha_D$ belongs to the space

$$N_2''H^4(X',\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathbb{C},$$

defined as the maximal sub-Hodge structure of $H^4(X',\mathbb{Q})$ isomorphic to a subquotient of some power of $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$. By the same simplicity argument as before, $N_2''H^4(X',\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathbb{C}$ is also the maximal sub-Hodge structure of $H^4(X',\mathbb{Q})$ isomorphic to some power of $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$.But the intersection

$$Hdg^4(X')\cap N_2''H^4(X',\mathbb{Q})$$

has to be zero, since there is no non zero Hodge class in $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus also

$$Hdg^4(X')\otimes\mathbb{C}\cap N_2''H^4(X',\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathbb{C}$$

has also to be 0. Hence we proved that $2\alpha_D\alpha_1+\alpha_D^2=0$ implies that $\alpha_1\alpha_D=0$, $\alpha_D^2=0$.

In conclusion, we proved that Z is the set-theoretic union of Z_1, Z_2, and of a set which projects to a finite set of lines in $A^2_{1\mathbb{C}}$ and $A^2_{2\mathbb{C}}$.

Let now Z_1' be an irreducible component of Z_1 which contains $Z_{1,0}$. Suppose it is not an irreducible component of Z. This means that there exists an irreducible component Z' of Z containing Z_1', not contained in Z_1, such that $Z'\setminus Z_1'$ is dense in Z'. So $Z'\setminus Z_1'$ has to project in a dominant way onto $A^2_{1\mathbb{C}}$, which contradicts the fact that $Z'\setminus Z_1'$ has to be contained in the union of Z_2, which projects to 0 in $A^2_{1\mathbb{C}}$, and of a set which projects to a finite union of lines in $A^2_{1\mathbb{C}}$. Thus Proposition 1 is proved, assuming Lemmas 3 and 4. ∎

Proof of Lemma 3. Recall that

$$A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}=\bigwedge^2 H^1(T,\mathbb{Q})\cong\bigwedge^2\Gamma^*_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

$$A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}=\bigwedge^2 H^1(\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q})\cong\bigwedge^2\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

We have the endomorphisms ϕ_T, $\phi_{\widehat{T}}$ acting respectively on the complex tori T and $\widehat{T}$, and the induced action ϕ_T^*, $\phi_{\widehat{T}}^*$ on $H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})$, resp. $H^2(\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q})$, identify to $\wedge^{2t}\phi$, $\wedge^2\phi$ respectively.

Let $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{2n}$ be the $2n$-eigenvalues of ϕ on $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $e_1,\ldots,e_{2n}$ be a corresponding basis of eigenvectors of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}$, and let e_i^* be the dual basis of $\Gamma^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. We choose the ordering in such a way that Γ' (see section 1) is generated by $e_1,\ldots,e_n$. In other words, $e_i\in H^1(\widehat{T},\mathbb{C})$ have Hodge type $(1,0)$ for $i\leq n$ and $e_i^*\in H^1(T,\mathbb{C})$ have Hodge type $(1,0)$ for $i>n$.

We want to study the Hodge classes in

$$A^{2*}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}\otimes A^2_{1,\mathbb{Q}}\otimes A^2_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$=\bigwedge^2\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}\otimes\bigwedge^2\Gamma^*_{\mathbb{Q}}\otimes\bigwedge^2\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

which we consider as a weight 6 Hodge structure, so the classes we search are the rational classes of Hodge type $(3,3)$.

This space

$$S := Hdg(\bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \otimes \bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

is stable under the action of the three commuting morphisms of Hodge structures

$$\wedge^2\phi \otimes Id \otimes Id,\ Id \otimes \wedge^{2t}\phi \otimes Id,\ Id \otimes Id \otimes \wedge^2\phi.$$

It follows that the complexified space $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ is generated by eigenvectors for these actions, namely elements of the form

$$e_i \wedge e_j \otimes e_k^* \wedge e_l^* \otimes e_r \wedge e_s. \tag{2.6}$$

For a, $b\, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the endomorphism

$$\Phi_{abc} := \wedge^2\phi \otimes \wedge^{2t}\phi \otimes \wedge^2\phi$$

of $\bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \otimes \bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Φ_{abc} is diagonal in the basis given by the elements (2.6), with corresponding eigenvalues

$$(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^a(\lambda_k\lambda_l)^b(\lambda_r\lambda_s)^c.$$

The Galois group of the field $K = \mathbb{Q}[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2n}]$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ acts on the λ_i and has to leave stable the set E_{abc} of eigenvalues of Φ_{abc} on S, since S is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. On the other hand, we know that this Galois group is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{2n}$ on $2n$ letters acting on the λ_i's. Thus we conclude that if

$$(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^a(\lambda_k\lambda_l)^b(\lambda_r\lambda_s)^c \in E_{abc},$$

then also

$$(\lambda_{\sigma(i)}\lambda_{\sigma(j)})^a(\lambda_{\sigma(k)}\lambda_{\sigma(l)})^b(\lambda_{\sigma(r)}\lambda_{\sigma(s)})^c \in E_{abc}.$$

But for an adequate choice of a, b, c the map

$$(\{i,j\},\{k,l\},\{r,s\}) \mapsto (\lambda_i\lambda_j)^a(\lambda_k\lambda_l)^b(\lambda_r\lambda_s)^c$$

is injective. Thus we conclude that if (2.6) belongs to $S_{\mathbb{C}}$, so does

$$e_{\sigma(i)} \wedge e_{\sigma(j)} \otimes e_{\sigma(k)}^* \wedge e_{\sigma(l)}^* \otimes e_{\sigma(r)} \wedge e_{\sigma(s)}. \tag{2.7}$$

As $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ is contained in the $(3,3)$-part of

$$A^{2*}_{1,\mathbb{C}} \otimes A^2_{1,\mathbb{C}} \otimes A^2_{2,\mathbb{C}}$$

we see that (2.7) has to be of Hodge type $(3,3)$ for any permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}$.

But as $n \geq 4$, it is immediate that we can always find σ in such a way that (2.7) has Hodge type $(4,2)$ (eg choose i, j, r, s in $\{1,\ldots,n\}$).

Thus an element (2.6) in

$$Hdg(\bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \otimes \bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

does not exist, which proves the lemma. ∎

Proof of Lemma 4. We study the space

$$Hdg(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

exactly as in the previous proof. The space $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$ identifies to

$$\bigwedge^2 \Gamma^*_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \bigwedge^2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

as Hodge structures, where the $e^*_i \in \Gamma^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ have Hodge type $(1,0)$ for $i > n$, while the $e_i \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}$ have Hodge type $(1,0)$ for $i \leq n$.

Again, the space $S := Hdg(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, being stable under $\wedge^{2t}\phi \otimes Id$ and $Id \otimes \wedge^2\phi$ has to be generated by eigenvectors for both of these commuting endomorphisms, that is elements of the form :

$$e^*_i \wedge e^*_j \otimes e_k \wedge e_l.$$

Because this space is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, we conclude as in the previous proof that it has to be stable under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{2n}$, which means that for any permutation σ of $1, \ldots, 2n$,

$$e^*_{\sigma(i)} \wedge e^*_{\sigma(j)} \otimes e_{\sigma(k)} \wedge e_{\sigma(l)}$$

has to be of type $(2,2)$. Now this implies that up to permuting i and j, one must have $i = k$, $j = l$. Indeed, if the four indices are distinct, by changing them by some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}$, we may arrange that $e^*_{\sigma(i)} \wedge e^*_{\sigma(j)} \otimes e_{\sigma(k)} \wedge e_{\sigma(l)}$ has Hodge type $(4,0)$, and if eg $i = k$ but $j \neq l$, by changing them by some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}$, we may arrange that $e^*_{\sigma(i)} \wedge e^*_{\sigma(j)} \otimes e_{\sigma(i)} \wedge e_{\sigma(l)}$ has Hodge type $(3,1)$. Hence we have proved that $Hdg(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is generated by the elements $e^*_i \wedge e^*_j \otimes e_i \wedge e_j$ (in fact it has to be equal to the space generated by these elements, which is nothing but the algebra generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by $\phi^*_{\widehat{T}}$).

It is then clear that it contains (up to a scalar) only finitely elements of rank 1, namely the elements above. ∎

Proposition 1 is now fully proved. Our next technical Lemma will be the following:

Lemma 5 *Let $D_1 \subset D$ be defined as*

$$D_1 = \{\alpha_D \in D,\, \alpha_D\alpha_1 = 0 \text{ in } H^4(X', \mathbb{Q}),\, \forall \alpha_1 \in A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}\}.$$

Then, if $\alpha_D \in D \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\alpha_D\alpha_1 = 0$ for one non zero $\alpha_1 \in A^2_{1\mathbb{C}}$, one has $\alpha_D \in D_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. First of all, note that if

$$\psi' : X'' \to X'$$

is a proper surjective holomorphic map of degree 1, with X'' smooth, and the result is true for X'', with D replaced by the space $Hdg^2(X'')$ and $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ by $\psi'^*A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$, then it is also true for X'.

Indeed, such a map ψ' induces an injective map ψ'^* of cohomology algebras, which sends D in the space of Hodge classes of degree 2 on X''.

Recall now that X' is bimeromorphic to a quotient of the $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)\times_{T\times\widehat{T}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$ over $T\times\widehat{T}$. Hence there is a dominant meromorphic map from $\mathbb{P}(E)\times_{T\times\widehat{T}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$ to X'.

Using Hironaka's desingularization theorem and the previous observation, we can thus reduce to the case where X' is deduced from $W:=\mathbb{P}(E)\times_{T\times\widehat{T}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$ by a sequence of blow-ups.

We first prove that the result is true for W. The cohomology of degree 2 of

$$W\overset{\tilde{q}}{\to}T\times\widehat{T}$$

is a free module over the cohomology of $T\times\widehat{T}$ generated by $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1,\mathbb{Q})$. The space of degree 2 Hodge classes D on W is the sum of two spaces, namely D_0 which has rank 2 and is isomorphic by restriction to $H^2(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1,\mathbb{Q})$ and D_1 which is isomorphic via $\tilde{q}^*$ to the set of degree 2 Hodge classes in $H^2(T\times\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q})$. But we have by Künneth decomposition:

$$H^2(T\times\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q})=H^2(K\times\widehat{K},\mathbb{Q})\oplus H^1(T,\mathbb{Q})\otimes H^1(\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q}),$$

and D_1 is contained in the last factor. One checks that D_1 is generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by $p:=c_1(\mathcal{P})$ and its pull-backs under $(\phi_T^l)^*\otimes Id$. We conclude from this that the product map

$$D\otimes\tilde{q}^*(H^2(T,\mathbb{Q}),\mathbb{Q})\to H^4(W,\mathbb{Q})$$

is injective, so that there is in fact nothing to prove for W.

It remains now only to prove that if the statement is true for W, it is true for any complex manifold obtained by successive blow-ups of W along smooth centers. This is proved by induction on the number of blow-ups. Assume it is true for W_i and let $\tau:W_{i+1}\to W_i$ be the blow-up of a smooth irreducible center $Z\subset W_i$. Then the set of degree 2 Hodge classes D_{i+1} on W_{i+1} is generated by τ^*D_i and the class e_Z of the exceptional divisor E_Z. Now, the study of the cohomology ring of W_{i+1} (see [7] I, 7.3.3) shows that if there is an equality

$$e_Z\tau^*\alpha=0,\ \textit{modulo}\ \tau^*H^*(W_i,\mathbb{C})$$

then in fact $e_Z\tau^*\alpha=0$ in $H^*(W_{i+1},\mathbb{C})$.

Now suppose there is a relation $\alpha_D\alpha=0$ in $H^*(W_{i+1},\mathbb{C})$, where $\alpha_D\in D_{i+1}\otimes\mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha\in q^*H^2(T\times 0,\mathbb{C})$. Writing

$$\alpha_D=\mu e_Z+\alpha'_D,$$

where $\mu\in\mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha'_D\in\tau^*D_i\otimes\mathbb{C}$, we conclude using the previous remark that

$$\mu e_Z\alpha=0\text{ in }H^*(W_{i+1},\mathbb{C}),$$

that is, either $\mu=0$, in which case we can apply the result for W_i, or

$$e_Z\alpha=0\text{ in }H^*(W_{i+1},\mathbb{C}).$$

Since multiplication by the Hodge class e_Z is a morphism of Hodge structures from $H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})$ to $H^4(W_{i+1},\mathbb{Q})$, its kernel is a sub-Hodge structure of $H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})$. So this map is either injective or 0, since the Hodge structure on $H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})$ is simple, as already noticed before.

The conclusion is that, if there is one non-zero α satisfying $\alpha_D\alpha = 0$ in $H^*(W_{i+1},\mathbb{C})$ with a coefficient $\mu \neq 0$, we find that $e_Z\alpha' = 0$ in $H^*(W_{i+1},\mathbb{C})$, for any $\alpha' \in q^*H^2(T\times 0,\mathbb{Q})$, and that furthermore the equality $\alpha_D\alpha = 0$ reduces to the equality $\alpha'_D\alpha = 0$, which holds already in $H^*(W_i,\mathbb{C})$. Hence the result is proved by induction.

∎

We will need also the following result.

Lemma 6 *a) For any $d \in D\otimes\mathbb{C}$, $\beta \in A^{4n-2}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset H^{4n-2}(X',\mathbb{C})$, one has*

$$d^3\beta = 0 \text{ in } H^{4n+4}(X',\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}.$$

b) The complex subspace $D\otimes\mathbb{C} \subset H^2(X',\mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible component of the algebraic set

$$Z' = \{d \in H^2(X',\mathbb{C}),\ d^3\beta = 0,\ \forall\beta \in A^{4n-2}_{\mathbb{C}}\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. D is made of Hodge classes. So for any $d \in D$, the map

$$\alpha \mapsto d^3\alpha \in H^{4n+4}(X',\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$$

is a Hodge class in $(A^{4n-2}_{\mathbb{Q}})^* = A^2_{\mathbb{Q}}$. But we already know that $A^2_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has no non zero Hodge classes. This proves a).

Let $Z'_1 \subset H^2(X',\mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible component of the algebraic subset Z' of (2.8) containing strictly $D\otimes\mathbb{C}$. Choose any point $d \in D\otimes\mathbb{C}$ and let

$$D'_{\mathbb{C}} := T_{Z',d} \subset H^2(X',\mathbb{C}).$$

Since

$$D \oplus A^2_{\mathbb{Q}} = H^2(X',\mathbb{Q}),$$

and $D\otimes\mathbb{C} \subset D'_{\mathbb{C}}$, where the inclusion is strict, there must be a non-zero element depending on d

$$\alpha_d \in D'_{\mathbb{C}} \cap A^2_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{2.9}$$

This α_d satisfies the property that for any $\beta \in A^{4n-2}_{\mathbb{C}}$, one has

$$d^2\alpha_d\beta = 0 \text{ in } H^{4n+4}(X',\mathbb{C}). \tag{2.10}$$

We get a contradiction as follows: since X' is in the class $\mathcal{C}$, that is bimeromorphically equivalent to a Kähler compact manifold, and the map

$$q\circ\psi : X' \to (T/\pm Id)\times(\widehat{T}/\pm Id)$$

is dominating with 4-dimensional fiber, there is a $\mu \in H^2(X',\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(q\circ\psi)_*\mu^2 \neq 0 \text{ in } H^0((T/\pm Id)\times(\widehat{T}/\pm Id),\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

(Here we should work with $K\times\widehat{K}$ and desingularize the map

$$q\circ\psi : X' \dashrightarrow K\times\widehat{K}$$

to be more rigorous on the definition of $(q \circ \psi)_*$.)

Now, write $\mu = d_1 + \mu'$, with $d_1 \in D \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu' \in A^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then

$$\mu^2 = \mu'^2 + 2d_1\mu' + d_1^2,$$

so that for any $\alpha \in A^2$, $\beta \in A^{4n-2}$,

$$\mu^2\alpha\beta = (\mu'^2 + 2d_1\mu' + d_1^2)\alpha\beta = d_1^2\alpha\beta.$$

Choose for d the element d_1 above, and introduce α_{d_1} as in (2.9). Now, because $H^2((T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id), \mathbb{C})$ and $H^{4n-2}((T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id), \mathbb{C})$ are dual via the cup-product and the isomorphism

$$H^{4n}((T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id), \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C},$$

there exists a $\beta \in H^{4n-2}((T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id), \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\alpha_{d_1}\beta \neq 0 \text{ in } H^{4n}((T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id), \mathbb{C}).$$

Thus

$$\mu^2\alpha_{d_1}\beta \neq 0 \text{ in } H^{4n+4}(X', \mathbb{C}).$$

But we have just seen that

$$\mu^2\alpha_{d_1}\beta = d_1^2\alpha_{d_1}\beta.$$

The left hand side is non zero, while the right hand side vanishes by (2.10), which proves b) by contradiction. ■

We conclude this section with the proof of a Proposition concerning the geometry of the bimeromorphic map $\psi : X' \dashrightarrow X$ which will be essential in the sequel. Recall that we proved that the meromorphic map

$$q \circ \psi : X' \dashrightarrow (T/\pm Id) \times (\widehat{T}/\pm Id)$$

is in fact holomorphic. Let $X'_0 := (q \circ \psi)^{-1}(K_0 \times \widehat{K}_0)$.

Proposition 2 *There exists a dense Zariski open set $U \subset K_0 \times \widehat{K}_0$ such that denoting*

$$X'_U := (q \circ \psi)^{-1}(U), \; X_U := q^{-1}(U),$$

the induced meromorphic map

$$\psi : X'_U \dashrightarrow X_U$$

is holomorphic.

In order to prove this proposition, we need to establish a few Lemmas saying that $T \times \widehat{T}$ and $\mathbb{P}(E) \times_{T \times \widehat{T}} \mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$ contain very few closed analytic subsets. They will be needed also later on in section 3.

Lemma 7 *The only closed irreducible positive dimensional proper analytic subsets of $T \times \widehat{T}$ are of the form $x \times \widehat{T}$, $x \in T$, or $T \times y$, $y \in \widehat{T}$.*

Proof. Indeed, note first that T and $\widehat{T}$ do not contain positive dimensional proper analytic subsets. This is because they both are simple tori which are not projective (see [6]), as guaranteed by the existence of ϕ_T and $\phi_{\widehat{T}}$.

It follows that if $Z \subset T \times \widehat{T}$ is positive dimensional proper irreducible and not of the above form, then it must be étale over both T and $\widehat{T}$ which implies that the rational Hodge structures on $H^1(T, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^1(\widehat{T}, \mathbb{Q})$ are isomorphic. But this is not the case, as a consequence of Lemma 3. ■

Lemma 8 *The only irreducible proper closed analytic subsets of* $\mathbb{P}(E)$ *which dominate* $T \times \widehat{T}$ *are the images* Σ_1, Σ_2 *of the two natural sections* σ_1, σ_2 *of* $\mathbb{P}(E)$ *corresponding to the splitting*

$$E = \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}^{-1},$$

and similarly for $\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$.

Proof. Indeed, let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$ be an hypersurface dominating $T \times \widehat{T}$. Let us denote by $e : Z \to T \times \widehat{T}$ the generically finite map. Note that because of the description above of the proper analytic subsets of $T \times \widehat{T}$, Z has to contain a dense Zariski open set Z_0 which is an étale cover of a Zariski open set $U \subset T \times \widehat{T}$, where the complementary set of U is an union of analytic subsets of the form $x \times \widehat{T}$ or $T \times y$.

Next Z induces a section of the induced $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)_Z := e^*\mathbb{P}(E)$. Such a section is given by a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over Z and a surjective map

$$E^* = e^*\mathcal{P} \oplus e^*\mathcal{P}^{-1} \to \mathcal{L}.$$

If one of the two induced maps

$$e^*\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{L}$$

or

$$e^*\mathcal{P}^{-1} \to \mathcal{L}$$

is zero, then Z has to be contained in Σ_1 or Σ_2. Otherwise, we find that both $e^*\mathcal{P}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ and $e^*\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ have non-zero sections. Note that, because Z_0 is an étale cover of an open set of $T \times \widehat{T}$ whose complementary set has codimension ≥ 2, some power $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$, $k > 0$ is equal to $e^*(\mathcal{K})$ on Z_0, for some line bundle $\mathcal{K}$ on $T \times \widehat{T}$. Furthermore,

$$e^*\mathcal{P}^{-k} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} = e^*(\mathcal{P}^{-k} \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

and

$$e^*\mathcal{P}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} = e^*(\mathcal{P}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

have non-zero sections on Z_0. It then follows that for some $m > 0$, there are non-zero sections of

$$\mathcal{P}^{-km} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes km} = \mathcal{P}^{-km} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m},$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{\otimes km} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes km} = \mathcal{P}^{\otimes km} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m},$$

on the open set U, hence on $T \times \widehat{T}$ itself. But since $T \times \widehat{T}$ does not contain hypersurfaces, these sections do not vanish anywhere, from which one concludes that $\mathcal{P}^{-km}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}^{km}$, which is not true since there cohomology classes are different. This proves the Lemma for $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and the result for $\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$ follows. ■

Corollary 1 *a) The only irreducible codimension* 1 *analytic subsets of*

$$\mathbb{P}(E) \times_{T\times\widehat{T}} \mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$$

which dominate $T \times \widehat{T}$ *are of the form* $pr_1^{-1}\Sigma_i$, $i = 1, 2$ *or* $pr_2^{-1}\Sigma_i^\phi$, $i = 1, 2$.

b) The only irreducible codimension 2 *analytic subsets of*

$$\mathbb{P}(E) \times_{T\times\widehat{T}} \mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$$

which dominate $T \times \widehat{T}$ *are complete intersections*

$$pr_1^{-1}\Sigma_i \cap pr_2^{-1}\Sigma_j^\phi,\ i = 1, 2,\ j = 1, 2.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}(Z)$, and let $H = pr_1^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1))$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{L} = H^{\otimes\alpha} \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{K},$$

for some line bundle $\mathcal{K}$ on $\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$. Thus we have

$$R^0 pr_{2*}\mathcal{L} = Sym^\alpha(\pi^*E^*) \otimes \mathcal{K} = Sym^\alpha(\pi^*\mathcal{P} \oplus \pi^*\mathcal{P}^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E_\phi) \to T \times \widehat{T}$ is the structural map. Here α has to be non negative, as $\mathcal{L}$ has a non zero section.

The non zero section of $\mathcal{L}$ defining Z thus gives rise to sections $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma'}$ of

$$\pi^*\mathcal{P}^{\otimes\gamma} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{P}^{-\gamma'} \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

for $\gamma \geq 0$, $\gamma' \geq 0$, $\gamma + \gamma' = \alpha$.

Note that only one $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma'}$ can be non zero. Indeed, by Lemma 8, the divisors of $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma'}$ have to be combinations of $\Sigma_{1\phi}$ and $\Sigma_{2\phi}$ and the two line bundles $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma_{1\phi})$, $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma_{2\phi})$ differ by a multiple of $\pi^*\mathcal{P}_\phi$. Thus, if two sections $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma'}$ were non zero, then we would get a proportionality relation between $\pi^*\mathcal{P}_\phi$ and $\pi^*\mathcal{P}$ on $\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$, which is not possible.

Thus there is only one non zero section $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma'}$. There are now two possibilities: if the divisor $D_{\gamma\gamma'}$ of $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma'}$ is non-empty, then as Z is irreducible and contains $pr_2^{-1}D_{\gamma\gamma'}$, Z must be a pull-back, and Lemma 8 gives the result.

Next if the divisor $D_{\gamma\gamma'}$ of $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma'}$ is empty, one concludes that the line bundle $\mathcal{K}$ is a pull-back :

$$\mathcal{K} = \pi^*\mathcal{K}'$$

for some line bundle $\mathcal{K}'$ on $T \times \widehat{T}$. But then, $\mathcal{L}$ is also a pull-back:

$$\mathcal{L} = pr_1^*\mathcal{L}'$$

for some line bundle $\mathcal{L}'$ on $\mathbb{P}(E)$, and thus Z is equal to $pr_1^{-1}(Z')$, for some $Z' \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$. Lemma 8 gives then the result.

The proof of b) is obtained by projecting codimension 2 subsets of $\mathbb{P}(E) \times_{T\times\widehat{T}} \mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$ to $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and $\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$. ■

The results above give us correspondingly the description of the codimension 1 and codimension 2 analytic subsets of

$$Q := \mathbb{P}(E) \times_{T\times\widehat{T}} \mathbb{P}(E_\phi)/ < (i, i_\phi), (\hat{i}, \hat{i}_\phi) >$$

which dominate $K \times \widehat{K}$.

Namely they are the image in Q of the subvarieties described above.

One interesting point is that the two hypersurfaces $pr_1^{-1}\Sigma_1$, $pr_1^{-1}\Sigma_2$ descend to only one irreducible hypersurface

$$\Sigma \subset Q, \tag{2.11}$$

because the two factors in the splitting $E = \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}^{-1}$ are exchanged under i, so that $pr_1^{-1}\Sigma_1$, $pr_1^{-1}\Sigma_2$ are permuted by $< (i, i_\phi), (\hat{i}, \hat{i}_\phi) >$. For the same reason, $pr_2^{-1}\Sigma_1^\phi$, $pr_2^{-1}\Sigma_2^\phi$ give rise to only one hypersurface Σ_ϕ.

Similarly the 4 codimension 2 subvarieties

$$pr_1^{-1}\Sigma_i \cap pr_2^{-1}\Sigma_j^\phi,\ i = 1,\ 2,\ j = 1,\ 2$$

descend to only one irreducible subvariety W of Q, because they are permuted by the group $< (i, i_\phi), (\hat{i}, \hat{i}_\phi) >$.

Thus Q, and hence X contain only one irreducible codimension 2 subvariety W which dominates $K \times \widehat{K}$.

Proof of Proposition 2. The proof is now immediate from the analysis above. Starting from X, the only modifications which we can do, whose center dominates $K \times \widehat{K}$, is to blow-up W, because in a quadric, there is no contractible curve. In the blown-up variety, we have as divisors the exceptional divisors, the proper transforms of the divisors Σ, Σ_ϕ and they are the only one. Furthermore, the only codimension 2 closed analytic subset dominating $K \times \widehat{K}$ is the union of two copies of W, indexed by the choice of one of the divisors Σ, Σ_ϕ, since $W = \Sigma \cap \Sigma_\phi$. The same situation happens each time we blow-up one copy of W appearing in the previous step.

The key point is now the following: If the map $\psi : X' \dashrightarrow X$ is not defined over the generic point of $K \times \widehat{K}$, which we can see as a birational map between surface bundles over the generic point of $K \times \widehat{K}$, then after a finite sequence of blow-ups of X along codimension 2 subsets dominating $K \times \widehat{K}$, some divisor $D \subset \widetilde{X}$ in the blown-up variety must be generically contractible over $K \times \widehat{K}$, that is be made of a disjoint union of rational curves of self-intersection -1 in the generic surface $\widetilde{X}_t$, while this divisor D projects to a divisor in X. This follows from the factorization of birational map between surfaces (see [1]).

But as this divisor dominates $K \times \widehat{K}$, it must be one of those described above, that is a proper transform of Σ, Σ_ϕ. The contradiction comes from the fact that after the blow-up of W, the proper transforms of Σ and Σ_ϕ are families of rational curves of self-intersection -2, and this self-intersection can only decrease after further blow-ups. One the other hand, if we do not blow-up anything, these divisors are families of curves of self-intersection 0, which do not contract.

■

3 Proof of Theorem 5.

In this section, we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 5, namely, X' is bimeromorphically equivalent to X, and Y is a compact Kähler manifold such that there exists an isomorphism

$$\gamma : H^*(Y,\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X',\mathbb{Q})$$

of graded algebras. We want to prove that Y cannot be projective.

Our argumentation will be based on the analysis of the algebra $H^*(X',\mathbb{Q})$ made in the previous section, and on the following Lemma 9 due to Deligne (see [2], [6], section 3) which was already heavily used in the last section of [6].

Let B^* be a finite dimensional graded algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ and assume that each B^k carries a rational Hodge structure, compatible with the product, i.e. the product map

$$B^k \otimes B^l \to B^{k+l}$$

is a morphism of Hodge structures. Let $Z \subset B^k_{\mathbb{C}}$ be an algebraic subset defined by homogeneous equations which can be formulated using only the product structure on B^*. We have in mind, eg

$$Z = \{\alpha \in B^k_{\mathbb{C}},\, \alpha^2 = 0\}$$

or, which will be also used in the sequel, $Z' = Sing\, Z$, for Z as above.

Lemma 9 *(Deligne) For Z as above, let $Z_1 \subset Z$ be an union of irreducible reduced components of Z. Assume that the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $< Z_1 >$ generated by Z_1 is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, that is $< Z_1 >= Z_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, for some $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $Z_{1\mathbb{Q}} \subset B^k_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $Z_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ is a rational sub-Hodge structure of $B^k_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Our first step is the following (notations are as in the previous section):

Proposition 3 *Let X', Y, γ be as above. Then $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})$ and $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ are rational sub-Hodge structures of $H^2(Y,\mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. We give the proof for $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})$, the proof for $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ is identical.

We have only to explain how to recover the space $A^2_{1\mathbb{C}}$ as generated by a certain algebraic subset of $H^2(X',\mathbb{C})$ defined using only the algebra structure on $H^*(X',\mathbb{C})$, since then, via γ, we will then recover similarly $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{C}}) \subset H^2(Y,\mathbb{C})$ and then by Deligne's Lemma 9, we will know that $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})$ is a sub-Hodge structure of $H^2(Y,\mathbb{Q})$.

We first use Proposition 1. It says that the irreducible components of the algebraic subset

$$Z_1 = \{\alpha_1 + d,\, d \in D_{\mathbb{C}},\, \alpha_1 \in A^2_{1\mathbb{C}},\, \alpha_1^2 = 0,\, d^2 = 0,\, \alpha_1 d = 0\}$$

containing the algebraic subset

$$Z_{A^1} := \{\alpha \in A^2_{1\mathbb{C}},\, \alpha^2 = 0\},$$

are irreducible components of

$$Z = \{\alpha \in H^2(X',\mathbb{C}),\, \alpha^2 = 0\}.$$

Next Lemma 5 says us that if we denote by D_1 the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector subspace of $H^2(X',\mathbb{Q})$ defined as

$$D_1 := \{d \in D,\, d\alpha = 0,\, \forall \alpha \in A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}\},$$

the condition

$$\alpha_1 d = 0 \text{ in } H^4(X',\mathbb{C}),$$

for some

$$0 \neq \alpha_1 \in A^2_{1\mathbb{C}},\, d \in D_{\mathbb{C}},$$

implies that $d \in D_{1\mathbb{C}} := D_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Using this Lemma, we conclude that the following algebraic subset of $H^2(X',\mathbb{C})$,

$$Z'_1 = \{\alpha_1 + d,\, d \in D_{1\mathbb{C}},\, \alpha_1 \in A^2_{1\mathbb{C}},\, \alpha_1^2 = 0,\, d^2 = 0\},$$

also satisfies the property that its irreducible components containing Z_{A_1} are irreducible components of Z. Note now that the vector space $A^2_{1\mathbb{C}}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and generated by its algebraic subset Z_{A^1}, because A_1^* is the exterior algebra $\bigwedge^{even} \Gamma^*_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Thus, it remains only to show how to recover Z_{A^1} from Z'_1. This is done as follows. Let

$$D'_{1\mathbb{C}} \subset D_{1\mathbb{C}}$$

be the complex vector space generated by the algebraic subset

$$Z_{D_1} := \{d \in D_{1\mathbb{C}}, d^2 = 0\}.$$

$D'_{1\mathbb{C}}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, that is

$$D'_{1\mathbb{C}} = D'_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

for some rational subspace $D'_1 \subset H^2(X',\mathbb{Q})$, because $D_{1\mathbb{C}}$ is, and Z_{D_1} is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

If $D'_1 = 0$, there is nothing to say because then $Z'_1 = Z_{A^1}$. In general, the formula defining Z'_1 shows that it is the "join" of Z_{D_1} and Z_{A_1} in $D'_1 \oplus A^2_1$.

Assume first that $Z_{D_1} \neq D'_{1\mathbb{C}}$. In this case we recover Z_{A_1} as a component of the singular locus of Z'_1 because the join of two algebraic sets admits one of these algebraic sets as an union of component of its singular locus unless the other one is linear. So in this case, we recover Z_{A_1} from the algebra structure of $H^*(X',\mathbb{C})$ and this is finished.

It remains only to exclude the possibility that

$$D'_1 \neq 0,\, Z_{D_1} = D'_{1\mathbb{C}}. \tag{3.12}$$

This is done by the following argument : assume (3.12) holds. As D'_1 is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, there would be in particular a non zero real element $d \in D \subset H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X')$ such that

$$d^2 = 0,\, d\alpha = 0,\, \forall \alpha \in A^2_{1\mathbb{R}}.$$

But there exists also a non-zero

$$\alpha \in A^{1,1}_{1\mathbb{R}} := H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X') \cap A^2_{1\mathbb{R}}$$

such that $\alpha^2 = 0$. It follows that the rank 2 real vector space

$$B :=< d, \alpha > \subset H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X')$$

satisfies the property:

$$\forall \gamma \in B,\ \gamma^2 = 0.$$

But this contradicts the Hodge index theorem (cf [7] I, 6.3.2) because X' is dominated by a Kähler compact manifold, and it follows that for some element $c \in H^{4n}(X', \mathbb{R})$, the intersection form

$$u \mapsto cu^2 \in H^{4n+4}(X', \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$$

has only one positive sign on $H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X')$, hence cannot admit a rank 2 real isotropic subspace. Thus (3.12) leads to a contradiction, and the proposition is proved.

■

Corollary 2 *With the same assumptions and notations, the subspace*

$$\gamma^{-1}(D) \subset H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$$

is a rational sub-Hodge structure.

Proof. We use Lemma 6, b), which says that $D \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is an irreducible component of the set

$$Z' = \{d \in H^2(X', \mathbb{C}),\ d^3\beta = 0,\ \forall \beta \in A^{4n-2}_{\mathbb{C}}\}.$$

It follows that $\gamma^{-1}(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is an irreducible component of the set

$$\gamma^{-1}(Z') = \{d \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{C}),\ d^3\beta = 0,\ \forall \beta \in \gamma^{-1}(A^{4n-2}_{\mathbb{C}})\}.$$

But we know as a consequence of Proposition 3 that $\gamma^{-1}(A^{4n-2})$ is a rational sub-Hodge structure of $H^{4n-2}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$. Indeed, it is equal to the degree $4n-2$ piece of the subalgebra generated by $\gamma^{-1}(A^2)$ and $\gamma^{-1}(A^2)$ is a rational sub-Hodge structure of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$.

It follows that its annihilator

$$\gamma^{-1}(A^{4n-2})_0 = \{\delta \in H^6(Y, \mathbb{Q}),\ \delta\beta = 0,\ \forall \beta \in \gamma^{-1}(A^{4n-2}_{\mathbb{C}})\}$$

is also a rational sub-Hodge structure of $H^{4n-2}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$.

Hence there is an induced rational Hodge structure on the quotient

$$H^6(Y, \mathbb{Q})/\gamma^{-1}(A^{4n-2})_0$$

and we can apply Deligne's Lemma 9 to the product

$$H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes 3} \to H^6(Y, \mathbb{Q})/\gamma^{-1}(A^{4n-2})_0,$$

which is compatible with the induced Hodge structure: Indeed, for this product, we have that $\gamma^{-1}(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is an irreducible component of the set

$$Z'' = \{\delta \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{C}),\ \delta^3 = 0\}.$$

As $\gamma^{-1}(D)$ is a rational subspace of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$, Lemma 9 says that it is a rational sub-Hodge structure of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$. ■

Proof of Theorem 5. The isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\gamma : H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X', \mathbb{Q})$$

must be compatible up to a coefficient with Poincaré duality, which is given by the cup-product and isomorphisms

$$H^{4n+4}(X', \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q},\ H^{4n+4}(Y, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}.$$

As $\gamma^{-1}(A^2)$ is a rational sub-Hodge structure of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$, so is

$$\gamma^{-1}(A^{4n-4}) \subset H^{4n-4}(Y, \mathbb{Q}), \tag{3.13}$$

because it is equal to the degree $4n-4$ piece of the subalgebra of $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ generated by $\gamma^{-1}(A^2)$.

Now, the map which is Poincaré dual to the inclusion

$$A^{4n-4} \subset H^{4n-4}(X', \mathbb{Q})$$

is the map

$$(q \circ \psi)_* : H^8(X', \mathbb{Q}) \to H^4((T/ < \pm Id >) \times (\widehat{T}/ < \pm Id >), \mathbb{Q})$$
$$\cong A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}} \oplus A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}} \oplus A^4_{2\mathbb{Q}},$$

where the last isomorphism is given by the Künneth decomposition. We shall denote by

$$\kappa : H^4((T/ < \pm Id > \times(\widehat{T}/ < \pm Id >), \mathbb{Q}) \to A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$$

the Künneth projector given by the decomposition above.

Applying γ^{-1}, we thus get a projection

$$H^8(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \to \gamma^{-1}(A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}) \oplus \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}) \oplus \gamma^{-1}(A^4_{2\mathbb{Q}})$$

which must be a morphism of Hodge structures as its transpose (3.13) is. Composing further with the projection (conjugate via γ to κ)

$$\gamma^{-1}(A^4_{1\mathbb{Q}}) \oplus \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}) \oplus \gamma^{-1}(A^4_{2\mathbb{Q}}) \to \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}),$$

which is also a morphism of Hodge structures because $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})$ and $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ are sub-Hodge structures of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$, we get finally a morphism of Hodge structures

$$H^8(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \to \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Restricting it to the sub-Hodge structure $\gamma^{-1}(D)^4 = \gamma^{-1}(D^4) \subset H^8(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ generated by $\gamma^{-1}(D)$, we finally get a morphism of rational Hodge structures

$$\pi_\gamma : \gamma^{-1}(D^4) \to \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}),$$

which is conjugate via γ to the restriction of $\kappa \circ (q \circ \psi)_*$ to D^4.

We have now the following two Lemmas :

Lemma 10 *The image of*

$$\kappa\circ(q\circ\psi)_*:D^4\to A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}\otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$$

contains

$$Id\in Hom\,(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}},A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})\cong A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}\otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}$$

and

$$\phi^*=\wedge^{2t}\phi\in Hom\,(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}},A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})\cong A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}\otimes A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Let now

$$\Pi_\gamma=\gamma^{-1}\otimes\gamma^{-1}(Im\,\kappa\circ(q\circ\psi)_*)\subset\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})\otimes\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$$

be the image of π_γ.

Lemma 11 *a) The generic element of* Π_γ *is non-degenerate.*
(Here we see $u\in\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})\otimes\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ *as an element of*

$$Hom\,(\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})^*,\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}))$$

and non-degenerate means invertible.)
b) The $\mathbb{Q}$ *vector subspace* Π'_γ *of* $End\,(\gamma^{-1}(A^{2*}_{2\mathbb{Q}}))$ *generated by the* $u^{-1}\otimes v$*,* u *non-degenerate in* Π_γ*, consists of Hodge classes in* $End\,(\gamma^{-1}(A^{2*}_{1\mathbb{Q}}))$*, (relative to the Hodge structures on* $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ *induced by the Hodge structure on* $H^2(Y,\mathbb{Q})$*).*

Assuming these Lemmas, the proof is now concluded as follows.

The two Lemmas together imply that the Hodge structure on $\gamma^{-1}(A^{2*}_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ admits an endomorphism conjugate to $\phi^*_T=\wedge^{2t}\phi$. Hence dually the Hodge structure on $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ admits a morphism conjugate to $\wedge^2\phi$.

The proof concludes then exactly as in [6], 3.2: The above implies that either the Hodge structure on $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ is trivial or it does not contain any Hodge class. The first case is excluded by a Hodge index argument.

Next, working symmetrically with $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$, we conclude similarly that the Hodge structure on $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}})$ does not contain any Hodge class.

Thus it follows from Corollary 2 that the only degree 2 Hodge classes on Y are contained in $\gamma^{-1}(D)$.

But we look now at the intersection form

$$q_d=\int_Y d^{4n}\alpha\beta$$

for $d\in\gamma^{-1}(D)$, and we conclude that it is zero on $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})$, because the same is true for D and $A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}}$ on X'. Thus for no degree 2 Hodge class d on Y, the sub-Hodge structure $\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})\subset H^2(Y,\mathbb{Q})$ can be polarized by q_d. Thus by [7], I, 6.3.2, Y cannot be projective.

■

Proof of Lemma 10. We first reduce to the case where $X'=X$:First of all, using Lemma 7, we conclude that for any non-empty Zariski open set U of $K_0\times\widehat{K}_0$, the restriction map

$$H^4(K_0\times\widehat{K}_0,\mathbb{Q})=H^4((T/\pm Id)\times(\widehat{T}/\pm Id),\mathbb{Q})\to H^4(U,\mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism. Now we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^4 \subset H^8(X',\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[r, "rest_U"] \arrow[d, "(q\circ\psi)_*"'] & H^8(X'_U,\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[d, "(q\circ\psi)^U_*"] \\
H^4((T/\pm Id)\times(\widehat{T}/\pm Id),\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[r, "rest_U", "\cong"'] & H^4(U,\mathbb{Q}).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.14}$$

We use now Proposition 2 which says that the meromorphic map ψ is well defined on a Zariski open set X'_U as above. We thus have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^4_{X|X_U} \arrow[r, "\psi^*_U"] \arrow[d, "q_{U*}"'] & D^4_{|X'_U} \arrow[d, "(q\circ\psi)_{U*}"] \\
H^4(U,\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] & H^4(U,\mathbb{Q}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where q_U, $(q\circ\psi)_U$ denote the restrictions of q, $q\circ\psi$ to X_U, X'_U respectively. We used here the fact that degree 2 Hodge classes on X, restricted to X_U, pull-back via ψ_U to degree 2 Hodge classes on X', restricted to X'_U, which follows from the fact that ψ is meromorphic.

Writing for X the same diagram as (3.14), we conclude that it suffices to prove the result for X.

Next, we look at the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{q}: & \mathbb{P}(E)_0\times_{T_0\times\widehat{T_0}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "e"] & T_0\times\widehat{T_0} \arrow[d, "e"] \\
q: & X_0 \arrow[r] & K_0\times\widehat{K_0}
\end{tikzcd},$$

where the lower indices 0 denote the restrictions of the projective bundles to $T_0\times\widehat{T_0}$, the vertical maps denoted by e are the quotient maps, and the induced map

$$H^4(K_0\times\widehat{K_0},\mathbb{Q})\rightarrow H^4(T_0\times\widehat{T_0},\mathbb{Q})$$

are injective. Here X_0 is the Zariski open set of X which is the smooth part of the quotient Q. Arguing as before, we see that we can replace X by X_0, and then X_0 by its étale cover $\mathbb{P}(E)_0\times_{T_0\times\widehat{T_0}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)_0$. Thus the result for X follows from the following formulas (3.15):

Let Σ, Σ_ϕ be the two divisors of (2.11), and let s, $s_\phi\in Hdg^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$ be their cohomology classes. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{q}_*(e^*(s^3s_\phi)) &= 16Id\in Hom\,(H^2(T_0,\mathbb{Q}),H^2(T_0,\mathbb{Q}))=H^2(T_0,\mathbb{Q})\otimes H^2(\widehat{T}_0,\mathbb{Q}), \\
\tilde{q}_*(e^*(ss^3_\phi)) &= 16\phi^*\in Hom\,(H^2(T_0,\mathbb{Q}),H^2(T_0,\mathbb{Q}))=H^2(T_0,\mathbb{Q})\otimes H^2(\widehat{T}_0,\mathbb{Q}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

This is computed as follows: let s_1, s_2 be the classes of the divisors Σ_1, Σ_2 of $\mathbb{P}(E)\times_{T_0\times\widehat{T_0}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$ given by the decomposition $E=\mathcal{P}\oplus\mathcal{P}^{-1}$ and similarly let s^ϕ_1, s^ϕ_2 be the classes of the divisors Σ^ϕ_1, Σ^ϕ_2 of $\mathbb{P}(E)\times_{T_0\times\widehat{T_0}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$ given by the decomposition $E_\phi=\mathcal{P}_\phi\oplus\mathcal{P}^{-1}_\phi$. Then we have

$$e^*(s)=s_1+s_2,\ e^*(s_\phi)=s^\phi_1+s^\phi_2.$$

Let h, h_ϕ be respectively $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1))$, $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)}(1))$, or rather their pull-backs to the fibered product $\mathbb{P}(E)\times_{T_0\times\widehat{T_0}}\mathbb{P}(E_\phi)$. Let p, p_ϕ be the classes $c_1(\mathcal{P})$, $c_1(\mathcal{P}_\phi)$. Then we have

$$s_1=\tilde{q}^*p-h,\ s_2=-\tilde{q}^*p-h,$$

$$s_1^\phi=\tilde{q}^*p_\phi-h_\phi,\ s_2^\phi=-\tilde{q}^*p_\phi-h_\phi.$$

Thus

$$e^*(s)=-2h,\ e^*(s_\phi)=-2h_\phi,$$

and

$$e^*(s^3s_\phi)=16h^3h_\phi,\ e^*(s_\phi^3s)=16h_\phi^3h.$$

Applying $\tilde{q}_*$ we conclude that

$$\tilde{q}_*(e^*(s^3s_\phi))=-16c_2(E),\ \tilde{q}_*(e^*(s_\phi^3s))=-16c_2(E_\phi).$$

As $E=\mathcal{P}\oplus\mathcal{P}^{-1}$, and $E_\phi=\mathcal{P}_\phi\oplus\mathcal{P}_\phi^{-1}$, it follows that

$$c_2(E)=-p^2,\ c_2(E_\phi)=-p_\phi^2.$$

Finally, since p identifies to

$$Id\in Hom\,(H^1(T,\mathbb{Q}),H^1(T,\mathbb{Q}))=H^1(T,\mathbb{Q})\otimes H^1(\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q})\subset H^2(T\times\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q}),$$

we get that p^2 identifies to

$$Id\in Hom\,(H^2(T,\mathbb{Q}),H^2(T,\mathbb{Q}))=H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})\otimes H^2(\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q})\subset H^4(T\times\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q}),$$

and similarly p_ϕ^2 identifies to

$$Id\in Hom\,(H^2(T,\mathbb{Q}),H^2(T,\mathbb{Q}))=H^2(T,\mathbb{Q})\otimes H^2(\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q})\subset H^4(T\times\widehat{T},\mathbb{Q}).$$

Thus (3.15) is proved, which concludes the proof of the Lemma. ■

Proof of Lemma 11. The first statement is obvious by Lemma 10.

Next, because we proved that Π_γ is a sub-Hodge structure of

$$\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{1\mathbb{Q}})\otimes\gamma^{-1}(A^2_{2\mathbb{Q}}),$$

it follows that the space Π'_γ is a sub-Hodge structure of $End\,(\gamma^{-1}(A^{2*}_{2\mathbb{Q}}))$, and thus, so is the sub-algebra of $End\,(\gamma^{-1}(A^{2*}_{2\mathbb{Q}}))$ generated by Π'_γ. On the other hand, Π'_γ is conjugate via ${}^t\gamma$ to the corresponding subspace of $End\,(A^{2*}_{2\mathbb{Q}})$, defined similarly starting from $Im\,\kappa\circ(q\circ\psi)_{*|D^4}$. This last subspace is contained in the space of endomorphisms of Hodge structures of $A^{2*}_{2\mathbb{Q}}$, which has been computed to be equal to the algebra generated by $\phi_{\widehat{T}*}=\wedge^2\phi$ (see proof of Lemma 4).

The key point is that because $\wedge^2\phi$ is diagonalizable, this algebra tensored with $\mathbb{C}$ has no nilpotent element. It follows that $\Pi'_\gamma\otimes\mathbb{C}$ has no nilpotent element. But as Π'_γ is a sub-Hodge structure of $End\,(\gamma^{-1}(A^{2*}_{2\mathbb{Q}}))$, it follows that it is pure of type $(0,0)$, that is made of Hodge classes, because elements of type $(-k,k)$, $k>0$ are nilpotent.

■

References

[1] A. Beauville. *Surfaces algébriques complexes*, Astérisque SMF.

[2] P. Deligne. Letter to the author, Oct. 2003, (whose contents is included as a section of [6]).

[3] P. Deligne, Ph. Griffiths, J. Morgan, D. Sullivan. Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, Inventiones Math. 2 (1975), 245-274.

[4] K. Kodaira. On Kähler varieties of restricted type (an intrinsic characterization of algebraic varieties), Ann. of Math. 60 (1954) 28-48.

[5] K. Kodaira. On compact complex analytic surfaces, I, Ann. of Math. 71 (1960), 111-152.

[6] C. Voisin. On the homotopy types of Kähler compact and complex projective manifolds, Inventiones Math. Volume 157, Number 2, 329 - 343.

[7] C. Voisin. Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry I,II, Cambridge University Press 2002.